



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/238,224	01/27/1999	MARK CHARLES BEUTNAGEL	BEUTNAGLE-3- 6579	
	7590 11/2	002		
HENRY T BRENDZEL			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 574 SPRINGFIELD, NJ 07081			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2655	
			DATE MAILED: 11/26/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		$\leq 1$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/238,224	BEUTNEGAL ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael N. Opsasnick	2655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro . cause the application to become ABANDO!	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 S	September 2002 .				
•	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-5,7,10 and 13-22 is/are pending in	the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,10 and 13-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception		vaminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		,			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	e(e) (to a provisional application).			
<ul> <li>a)    The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)    Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li></ol>	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/238,224

Art Unit: 2655

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5,7,10,13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al (6088673) in view of Campbell et al (6366883).

As per claims 1,18-22, <u>Lee et al (6088673)</u> teaches a first step including in said signal a plurality of phonemes by phoneme symbols (as phoneme symbols col. 5 lines 42-54); a second step including in said signal a desired duration of each of said phoneme symbols (col. 5 lines 53-55); a third step including at least one of said phonemes at a time offset from the beginning of the duration of said phoneme that is greater than zero less than the duration of said phoneme (as offsets from beginning of sentence which starts on the phoneme level, col. 6 lines 5-50). Furthermore, Lee et al teaches at least two prosody parameter specification towards a target value (col. 6 lines 10-19). Lee et al does not explicitly teach any selected point in time for reaching said target value, however, Campbell et al (6366883) teaches a selected point in time for reaching the target value (col. 16 line 14 – col. 17 line 23).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of speech processing

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/238,224

Art Unit: 2655

to modify the teachings of Lee et al with "any <u>selected</u> point in time" for reaching the target value because it would advantageously approximate costs between target and candidate phonemes (col. 2 lines 40-49).

As per claims 2, 15, Lee et al teaches pitch parameters (col. 7 line 65)

As per claims 3, 16, Lee et al teaches energy parameters (col. 7 line 65)

As per claims 4, 17, Lee et al teaches target values for both pitch and energy (col. 7 line 65)

As per claim 5, Lee et al teaches either one of said at least two prosody specs specifies an energy (col. 7 lines 54-60, for the case of sentence boundary)

As per claim 7, Lee et al teaches target specs in terms of offsets from the beginning of the sentence (col. 5 line 63 - col. 6 line 5), wherein the sentence start is determined on the phoneme level (col. 6 lines 40-50)

As per claim 10, Lee et al teaches text (col. 6 lines 34-40)

As per claim 13, Lee et al teaches image (col. 6 lines 29-34)

As per claim 14, Lee et al teaches offset (col. 6 lines 1-2)

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/24/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On page 2 of applicant's response, applicant's present a detailed analysis of the Campbell reference. ON top of page 3 of the response, applicant's make a general broad statement that the teachings are not related to "a collection of steps for synthesizing speech; which is precisely to what claim 1 is direct; the inventive collection of steps for synthesizing

Application/Control Number: 09/238,224

Art Unit: 2655

speech." Examiner disagrees on many fronts with that statement. Firstly, the preamble of claim 1 pertains to "a method for generating a signal rich in prosody information"; and at this point there is no further reference to "generating a signal", let alone synthesizing speech (In other words, there is **no** reference to "synthesizing speech" in claim 1 as argued on page 3 of the response. Secondly, the body of claim 1 pertains to, **at best**, a **description** of information elements of the signal: a) phoneme symbols representing phonemes; b)phoneme duration; c)specifying a target value for a prosody parameter and any selected point in time for reaching a target value.

With respect to applicant's arguments presented in the next to last paragraph on page 3 of the response, the 'process of synthesis' is **nowhere** claimed in claim 1, the target value of Campbell is the phoneme that is the closest match (as pointed by applicant in the previous argument), and the target value in Campbell is not limited to a particular time, and **nowhere** in claim 1 is there language pertaining to a target level.

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to

Application/Control Number: 09/238,224

Art Unit: 2655

37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## 5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872 9314,

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (703)305-4089, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To, can be reached at (703)305-4827. The facsimile phone number for this group is (703)872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

mno 11/22/02

PRIMARY EXAMINER